1 2 3 4	Steve W. Berman steve@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO I 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 268-9320 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	LLP			
5 6 7 8	Marc M. Seltzer, Bar No. 054534  mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 Telephone: (310) 789-3102 Facsimile: (310) 789-3006	Loss			
9 10 11 12 13	Co-Lead Counsel for Consumer Economic Plaintiffs  Frank M. Pitre, Bar No. 100077 fpitre@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577	Loss			
14 15	Lead Counsel for Non-Consumer Economic Loss Plaintiffs				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
18	SOUTHERN DIVISION				
19	IN RE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORP. UNINTENDED ACCELERATION	Case No. 8:10ML2151 JVS (FMOx)			
20	MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY	DECLARATION OF STEVE W.			
21	LITIGATION	BERMAN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR			
22		IMPOSITION OF APPEAL BONDS UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF			
23		APPELLATE PROCEDURE 7			
24	This Document Relates To:	Date: October 21, 2013			
25 26	ALL ECONOMIC LOSS CASES	Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 10C Judge: Hon. James V. Selna			
27					

DECL. OF STEVE BERMAN ISO OF PLTFS' MOTION FOR IMPOSITION OF APPEAL BONDS UNDER FED. R. APP. P. 7

28

I, Steve Berman, declare as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in this Court and I am the managing partner of the law firm of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP, one of the Plaintiffs' Class Counsel firms representing the Economic Loss Plaintiffs in the above-entitled MDL litigation. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Imposition of Appeal Bonds Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 7. I have personal knowledge of the matters described in this declaration and am competent to testify thereto.
- 2. Plaintiffs anticipate that, for *each* objection to this Settlement being appealed, they will incur costs conservatively estimated in the amount of \$11,326.00 during the ensuing appeals. Initially, Plaintiffs anticipate filing various motions associated with these appeals, including moving for summary disposition of them, as well as subsequently moving to impose monetary sanctions against Objectors and/or their counsel, if and when their appeals are ultimately found to be frivolous. I estimate that each motion will not exceed 20 pages and will include exhibits of roughly 400 pages. We will need to make three (2) copies of each motion for the Court, one for the appellant and one for Toyota, as well as copies of the exhibits. At \$.10 per page plus \$3.00 per binding, I estimate we will incur \$213.00 in costs per motion. For the appeals themselves, I anticipate that we will file briefs of at least 30 pages, and appendices of roughly 800 pages given the extensive procedural history of the Settlement. We will need to make approximately 30 copies of each brief and four (4) copies of the appendices for the Court, in addition to one copy of the brief and appendices for the appellant, and one for Toyota. At \$.10 per page plus \$10.00

<b>Type</b>	<u># of</u>	<u># of</u>	Cost	Binding	<b>Total</b>
	<b>Pages</b>	<b>Copies</b>			
Motions	420	5	\$.10	\$3.00	\$213.00
Appeal Brief	30	32	\$.10	\$10.00	\$106.00
Appendices	800	6	\$.10	\$10.00	\$490.00
	Total Per Appeal \$809.00				
				Total for 14 appeals	\$11,326.00

- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a Table of Objector Claims which sets forth, for each Objector appealing the Settlement, the objections filed by that Objector and the ECF Docket Numbers for the objections filed. This table is substantially similar to the table prepared by Class Counsel and utilized by the Court in considering the objections in the first instance. *See* Table of Objectors, Appendix A to Plaintiffs' Reply Mem. in Supp. of Plaintiffs' Mot. for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Dkt No. 3731-1. It has been amended only to delete objections that have not been appealed and include subsequent filings by the same objectors.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a Table of Information Relevant to Imposition of Appeal Bonds which identifies, for each Objector, information that Ninth Circuit courts consider in determining whether the imposition of an appeal bond is appropriate.

- 2 -

## Case 8:10-ml-02151-JVS-FMO Document 4229 Filed 09/19/13 Page 4 of 5 Page ID #:139779

1	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of this Court's				
2	Tentative Order Denying Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses,				
3	and Objector Compensation.				
4	6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Table B-4A:				
5 6	U.S. Courts of Appeals – Median Time Intervals in Months for Merit Terminations				
7	of Appeals Arising From the U.S. District Courts, by Circuit, During the 12-Month				
8	Period Ending September 30, 2012, which Class Counsel obtained on September 15,				
9	2013 at: http://www.uscourts.gov/Statistics/JudicialBusiness/2012/statistical-tables-				
10	us-courts-appeals.aspx.				
11	I declare that the foregoing is true and correct under penalty of perjury under				
12	the laws of the United States.				
13 14	Executed this 19th day of September, 2013 at Seattle, Washington.				
15					
16	/s/ Stava W. Barman				
17	/s/ Steve W. Berman Steve W. Berman				
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney of record for each other party through the Court's electronic filing service on September 19, 2013. /s/ Steve W. Berman Steve W. Berman